

## CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Christopher F. Carr, VP-COO Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence 1225 Eye Street, NW, #1100 Washington, DC 20005 OCT 2 5 2007

**RE:** MUR 5875

Dear Mr. Carr:

On October 9, 2007, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated November 1, 2006, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe the National Rifle Association, the National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund and Mary Rose Adkins, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b. Accordingly, on October 9, 2007, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Mark D. Shonkwiler
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

1 FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2 3 **FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS** 4 5 **RESPONDENT: MUR:** 5875 National Rifle Association: 6 National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund and Mary Rose Adkins, in her official capacity as 7 8 treasurer. 9 10 11 I. **INTRODUCTION** 12 This complaint involves allegations that the National Rifle Association ("NRA") and its 13 separate segregated fund, the National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund ("NRAPVF"), violated the Act by making "illegal in-kind contributions to federal candidates by expressly 14 15 advocating the election or defeat of federal candidates to the general public through [their] web 16 activities." See MUR 5875 Complaint. The specific web activities complained of are discussed 17 in more detail below. 18 Based on the reasons outlined below, the Commission found no reason to believe that the 19 National Rifle Association, the National Rifle Association Political Fund and Mary Rose Adkins, 20 in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b in this matter. 21 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS 22 A. Facts 23 The National Rifle Association ("NRA") is a 501(c)(4) corporation, which asserts that it 24 is the "foremost defender of Second Amendment rights" and "the premier firearms education 25 organization in the world." See www.nra.org/aboutus.aspx. The National Rifle Association 26 Political Victory Fund ("NRAPVF") is the NRA's separate segregated fund and is registered as a 27 political committee with the Commission. Mary Rose Adkins is the treasurer of NRAPVF. Both

organizations have websites, www.nra.org and www.nrapvf.org respectively. The web activities

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MUR 5875
Factual and Legal Analysis
National Rifle Association;
National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund and Mary Rose Adkins, in her official capacity as treasurer Page 2

complained of all occurred on the non-password protected portions of the websites that were available to the general public.

The complaint primarily concerns activities conducted by NRAPVF during October of 2006. The activities complained of that occurred on the NRAPVF website included: (1) endorsing candidates, (2) encouraging the public to vote for endorsed candidates, (3) publicizing and encouraging the distribution of its radio and television advertising targeting specific races, (4) including information regarding voter registration and GOTV drives on the same page as information communicating endorsements and expressly advocating the election or defeat of federal candidates, and (5) a tool to allow the general public to e-mail other members of the non-restricted class publicizing the NRAPVF website. *See* MUR 5875 Complaint. In addition, the complainant alleges that NRAPVF posted action alerts on numerous non-connected websites directing visitors to the NRAPVF website.

The complaint also alleges that the NRA violated the Act by providing a link on the NRA website to the NRAPVF website and providing links to the NRAPVF website in its corporate action alerts that are distributed beyond the restricted class.

## B. Analysis

The Act prohibits any corporation from making a "contribution or expenditure in connection with any election to any political office." 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a). However, corporations are permitted to establish separate segregated funds under 11 C.F.R. § 114.1(a)(2)(iii). NRAPVF appears to be a duly established separate segregated fund registered with the Commission. As such it is permitted, using voluntary contributions, to communicate

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MUR 5875
Factual and Legal Analysis
National Rifle Association;
National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund
and Mary Rose Adkins, in her official capacity as treasurer.
Page 3

- 1 with the general public, as any other political committee would be, so long as such
- 2 communications do not solicit contributions. See 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(i).

NRAPVF does not appear to have solicited contributions on any portion of its website available to the general public. There is no evidence which suggests that NRAPVF paid for the communications on the portions of its website available to the general public with anything other than voluntary contributions, and the complaint does not make such an allegation. Therefore, the following communications that the complainant alleged violated the Act, which would be permissible for a political committee to make, and which occurred on the publicly available portion of the NRAPVF website, would be permissible for NRAPVF, as a separate segregated fund, to make: (1) endorsing candidates, (2) encouraging the public to vote for endorsed candidates, (3) publicizing and encouraging the distribution of its radio and television advertising targeting specific races, (4) including information regarding voter registration and GOTV drives on the same page as information communicating endorsements and expressly advocating the election or defeat of federal candidates, and (5) a tool to allow the general public to e-mail other members of the non-restricted class publicizing the NRAPVF website. Although it would be very difficult to verify that all such communications were properly reported to the Commission by NRAPVF without further information about the communications, including the relevant vendors that NRAPVF made expenditures to for the specific communications, the response to the complaint explicitly states that the communications were reported to the Commission. See MUR 5875 Response.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NRAPVF reported \$2,146,900 56 in independent expenditures for the 2006 election cycle

MUR 5875
Factual and Legal Analysis
National Rifle Association;
National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund
and Mary Rose Adkins, in her official capacity as treasurer.
Page 4

Regarding the action alerts posted by NRAPVF on non-connected websites directing visitors to the NRAPVF website, the complainant does not indicate whether those postings were paid for by NRAPVF or posted without payment. If NRAPVF paid to have the action alerts posted on other non-connected websites, then the action alerts would constitute public communications under 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. However, even as public communications, as already stated above, so long as NRAPVF paid for the postings with voluntary contributions and properly reported them to the Commission, no violation of the Act or the Commission's regulations would result.

The complaint also alleges that the NRA violated the Act by providing a link on the NRA website to the NRAPVF website and providing links to the NRAPVF website in its corporate action alerts that are distributed beyond the restricted class. First, the link provided on the NRA's website and in the NRA's corporate action alerts (which appear on the NRA's website and the NRA's Institute for Legislative Action website) appears to have linked to the NRAPVF homepage. Second, it does not appear that the NRAPVF homepage itself contained express advocacy, candidate endorsements, voter guides, or other communications of an electoral nature dealing with the current election cycle. The NRAPVF homepage appears to have contained background information about NRAPVF and its past activities (including touting electoral successes). A viewer could then follow links on the NRAPVF homepage to get to material regarding the current election cycle. The NRAPVF homepage did not contain express advocacy or other electoral content dealing with the current election cycle, and the cost of the link was likely de minimis.

MUR 5875
Factual and Legal Analysis
National Rifle Association;
National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund and Mary Rose Adkins, in her official capacity as treasurer.
Page 5

- 1 Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that the National Rifle
- 2 Association, the National Rifle Association Political Victory Fund and Mary Rose Adkins, in her
- 3 official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b.